
3D printing of glasses by digital light processing, binder jetting, fused deposition modelling & direct melt printing

Tihitnaw Degu^{*1}, Meike Denker¹, Tamar Rosental², Yunle Wei³, Xuanzhao Pan³, Yu-Han Liao³, Heike Ebendorff-Heidepriem³, Shlomo Magdassi², Henning Zeidler¹, and Sindy Fuhrmann¹

¹Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg – Germany

²The Hebrew University of Jerusalem – Israel

³The University of Adelaide – Australia

Abstract

3D printing of glasses has been an area of interest for the past few years because of its ability to produce glasses in complicated shapes which cannot be achieved by traditional glass manufacturing techniques. Glass 3D printing is generally conducted by either heating it to its liquid state or by sintering of glass particles. Fused deposition modeling (FDM) and direct melt printing (DMP) techniques have been used to 3D print a glass by heating a filament (1) or directly by melting a batch in a kiln (2). Digital light processing (DLP) and direct ink writing (DIW) have also been used to synthesize 3D printed parts by sintering Nanosized glass particles (3, 4). Binder jetting (BJ) is another 3D printing technique which requires sintering to get a transparent glass. 3D printing of glasses involving the process of sintering has been mostly limited to silica-based solutions because of their high thermal stability against crystallization.

In this work, much focus has been given to the 3D printing of fluorophosphate (FP) glass using FDM, DMP, DLP, and BJ 3D printing technologies. The results obtained by 3D printing of FP glass using each technique will be compared.

DSC has been used to determine the drying and debinding reaction of the organic materials used during DLP and

BJ processes and the crystallization temperature of the 3D printed objects. In FDM and DMP the viscosity-temperature dependence on the FP glass is important to estimate the nozzle temperature for the 3D printing process. In all the techniques we applied so far, bubbles were inevitable in the final 3D-printed glass objects, which affected their

^{*}Speaker

transmittance.

References

- (1) R.M. Zaki et al. / Materials and Design, 194, 2020, 108957
- (2) J. Klein et al. / 3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing, 2, 2015, 92–105
- (3) F. Kotz et al. / Nature, 544, 2017, 337–339
- (4) J.F. Destino et al./ Advanced Materials Technologies, 3, 2018, 1700323
- (5) D. Ehrt et al. / Proc. XIIIth Int. Symp. on Non-Oxide Glass. and New Opt.Mat., 2002, 662-665

Keywords: Glass 3D printing, Fluorophosphate glass, Digital light processing, Binder jetting, Fused deposition modelling and Direct, melt printing